

**quinn emanuel trial lawyers | houston**

700 Louisiana Street, Suite 3900, Houston, Texas 77002-2841 | TEL (713) 221-7000 FAX (713) 221-7100

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NO.  
(713) 221-7010

WRITER'S EMAIL ADDRESS  
saraclark@quinnemanuel.com

January 10, 2025

**VIA ECF**

The Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein  
United States District Court Judge  
United States District Court for the  
Southern District of New York  
United States Courthouse  
500 Pearl Street  
New York, New York 10007

**Re: *United States v. Charlie Javice*, 23-Cr.-251 (S-1) (AKH)**

Dear Judge Hellerstein,

On behalf of our client, Charlie Javice, we respectfully request that the Court give expedited consideration to her Motion for Severance, filed concurrently with this letter.

**A. Motion to Sever and Supporting Papers**

In support of her motion, Ms. Javice also submits a declaration that contains information that should not be reviewed by the government or the public because it contains sensitive information that would reveal key defense strategy of both defendants. For the same reasons, Ms. Javice has redacted portions of her motion. Accordingly, Ms. Javice has provided to the Court for *ex parte in camera review* copies of (1) the unredacted declaration and (2) the unredacted motion. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(d)(1) (“At any time the court may, for good cause, deny, restrict, or defer discovery or inspection, or grant other appropriate relief. The court may permit a party to show good cause by a written statement that the court will inspect *ex parte*.”).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Ms. Javice described the general nature [REDACTED] and believes she describes the issue with sufficient clarity for the Government to respond. To the extent the court requires further disclosure to the Government, Ms. Javice respectfully submits the Court should first accept the *in camera* proffer [REDACTED]

\_\_\_\_\_ to permit the Court to fairly consider the merits of Ms. Javice's motion. \_\_\_\_\_ so that she may fairly assess the impact of the proffered material on the instant motion.

### B. Request for Expedited Consideration

Finally, as detailed in her motion, Ms. Javice learned only a few days ago [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and has raised severance to the Court at the first available opportunity.

Trial is a mere four weeks away, and all parties are necessarily benefitted by a prompt resolution of this request. Ms. Javice accordingly requests that the Court set a briefing schedule that will permit argument on this motion no later than January 17, 2025.

Mr. Amar does not oppose this motion or the expedited briefing schedule requested.

### C. Government's Position

The Government's position on the motion to sever and the requested briefing schedule was requested and provided as follows:

The Government was advised that defendant intended to file a motion to sever hours before it was filed today—which, notably, is more than 17 months after the superseding indictment joining the defendants was returned (Dkt. 27), well past the pretrial motions deadline, and only one month before trial commences. The Government opposes severance and will request a schedule for its response after reviewing the motion.

*[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]*

Respectfully Submitted

/s/ Sara C. Clark

Sara C. Clark  
Erica L. Perdomo  
**Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP**

David M. Siegal  
Eoin P. Beirne  
Ellen Shapiro  
**Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and  
Popeo, P.C.**

Jose A. Baez  
Rosemarie Peoples  
**Baez Law Firm**

Ronald S. Sullivan, Jr.  
**Ronald Sullivan Law, PLLC**

*Counsel for Defendant Charlie Javice*